

International Floorball Federation
Feedback given by Participants

Development Programme Seminar
Nowy Targ, Poland, 26-28.04.2013

Refereeing	8	Coaching	33
Zbigniew Skowronski, Dobomir Cirocki	POL	Pawel Raj, Janusz Bednarski, Roman Adamski, Robert Mrozinski	POL
Wieslaw Firek, Lukasz Kurczack	POL	Janusz Sternicki, Jerzy Focik, Artur Pyjos, Krystof Zemankiewicz	POL
Michal Panis, Sklencia Roman, Peter Varga	SVK	Bartosz Gotkiewicz, Marcin Lecki, Mikolaj Grzybowski	POL
Markus Dobering	AUT	Przemyslaw Strazynski, Przemyslaw Zak, Sebastian Koszela	POL
		Kondrad Przydrozny, Piotr Kostela, Jacek Michalski, Bus Krystyna	POL
		Arkadiusz Pysz, Grzegorz Kardys, Patrycja Marchlewska	POL
		Matej Novak, Marian Galovic, Michal Streska	SVK
		Michal Slaba, Milan Kotek	CZE
		Tamuz Hidir, Oleg Pavlyshyn, Igor Ivanchenko, Taras Mamus	UKR
		Werner Daves	AUT
		Maciej Jawor	POL
Thomas Thim & Jan Ögren-Fjellestad	SWE	Harri Lind & John Liljelund	FIN

41

Number of Participants who found the Issue to be very interesting

Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Observation tasks/summery	7	Game observation	23
Referee course organisation	5	Practical exercises	21
Video analysis of different situations	4	Warm-up examples - Activating	19
Movement in practise on field	4	Video analysis	18
Communication with the players	3	Defensive systems	14
Interesting group works	3	Power play/Box play	14
Practical excersises	3	Box play tactics	14
Referee leadership	3	Pair work/question session	13
Feedback as a referee	3	Sharing new ideas/Thinking as coach	12
Feedback from other referees	3	Opening the game	12
Good examples	2	Important Hints and Tips	12
Cooperation with other countries	2	Game feedback re-cap	12
Referee experiences	2	Reaction to changing situations	11
Experienced people	2	Good Training examples	9
Small group good discussions	2	Different defensive drills	9
Tips on how tp work with players	2	Playing tactical chess	8
Phyiscology	2	Player roles	8
Development plan'	1	Match statistics	8
Open discussions	1	Special situations	6
Very communicative lectures	1	Small field examples	6
Fun Game	1	Attacking drills	6
		Testing players	5
		Reactional training	5
		Fun Game	5

Number of Participants who found issues negative during the seminar

Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Too little time to discuss	3	More examples teaching tactics	6
Movement presentation was not good	2	Poor visibility of the whiteboard	5
Observer form not explained enough	2	Too fast, no time for translations	5
Some more educational materials	2	More video analysis	4
Discuss more bench penalties	2	Long conversations/more time for exercise	4

Issues that the Participants found to be needed in the next seminars

Refereeing	Resp	Coaching	Resp
Practical Referee sign training	2	Concentrate more on the modern Floorball	3
More training in the field	1	Plan the translation better	3
More realistic referee examples	1	More games to observe/analyse	2
		More hands-on trainings	2

Average Scores given by the Participants on a scale from 1 = Not good at all to 5 = very good; Total seminar = 4,5

Refereeing	Avg	Coaching	Avg	Total
Information level	4,69	Information level	4,57	4,63
Usefulness	4,42	Usefulness	4,55	4,49
Gave me ideas	4,42	Gave me ideas	4,53	4,48
Relevance	4,71	Relevance	4,66	4,69
Total	4,56	Total	4,58	4,57